

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,632	02/21/2002	Slemen Roelof Van Der Heide	30394-1057	7250	
5179	7590 06/20/2003				
PEACOCK MYERS AND ADAMS P C			EXAMINER		
P O BOX 26 ALBUQUE	5927 RQUE, NM 871256927		JACKSON, ANDRE K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2856		
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/936,632	HEIDE ET AL.				
Navioory Notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Andre' K. Jackson	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	correspondenc add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 06 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either; a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of		6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under						
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onths after the mailing date of the final rej	ection, even if timely filed,	(2) as set forth in may reduce any			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	· ·					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		•				



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1 suggests a new consideration. Applicants argue that the reel of Moreau et al. is not at the distal end "of the apparatus" and that the reel of the instant invention is located at that position. However, Applicants specification states that the reel is not at the distal end, but near the distal end (Page 3, lines 31-33).

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800